IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.35629 of 2008
VIJAY KUMAR PANDEY,
son of Late Ashlok Pandey,
resident of Mohalla Company Sarai
(Kaliasthan), Sasaram, P.S. Sasaram
Town, District Rohtas
-----Petitioner

Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

2. 19.11.2008 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Chenari P.S.Case No.2/2005 for the alleged offences registered under sections 465, 467, 409 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code

It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that the petitioner was the Secretary of the concerned Society at the material time, who was entrusted with certain works and money was given to him to carry out such work. The petitioner completed the work. However, the present F.I.R. was lodged alleging that the petitioner did not complete the work and submitted false documents to substantiate that the work was done. Learned counsel submits that the said allegations were inquired and the police after investigation submitted final form, as contained in Annexure 2. However, the informant, who at the material time was the Deputy Development Commissioner, filed a protest petition, even though he was transferred by that time. Cognizance was taken on such protest.

The learned APP appearing for the State submits that the cognizance has been taken in this case.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case,

WEB COPY
NOT OFFICIAL

let the petitioner above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender in connection with Chenari P.S. Case No.2/2005 within a period of four weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sasaram, Rohtas, in connection with the aforesaid case, on the conditions mentioned in sub-section (2) of section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

PNM **(Shailesh Kumar Sinha, J.)**

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL